arXiv:1404.4001v2 [math.AG] 9 Sep 2014

LIFTING DIVISORS ON A GENERIC CHAIN OF LOOPS

DUSTIN CARTWRIGHT, DAVID JENSEN, AND SAM PAYNE

Abstract. Let C be a curve over a complete valued field with infinite residue field whose skeleton is a chain of loops with generic edge lengths. We prove that any divisor on the chain of loops that is rational over the value group lifts to a divisor of the same rank on C, confirming a conjecture of Cools, Draisma, Robeva, and the third author.

1. Introduction

The tropical proof of the Brill-Noether Theorem in [CDPR12] gives a classification of the special divisors on a chain of g loops with generic edge lengths. The same argument works for a generic chain of loops with bridges, as studied in [JP14], since it depends only on the tropical Jacobian and the image of the Abel-Jacobi map. Suppose Γ is such a chain of loops with or without bridges, as shown in Figure 1, and C is a curve over a complete valued field K with totally split reduction and skeleton Γ. The classification of special divisors on Γ shows in particular that the polyhedral set $W^r_d(\Gamma) \subset \mathrm{Pic}_d(\Gamma)$ parametrizing divisor classes of rank at least r has pure dimension equal to

$$\rho(g,r,d) = g - (r+1)(g-d+r), \tag{1}$$

which is the dimension of the analogous Brill-Noether locus $W^r_d(C) \subset \mathrm{Pic}_d(C)$ for the algebraic curve C.

The real torus $\mathrm{Pic}_d(\Gamma)$ is canonically identified with the skeleton of the Berkovich analytic space $\mathrm{Pic}_d(C)^{\mathrm{an}}$ [BR13]. Furthermore, the tropicalization map given by retraction to this skeleton respects dimension [Gub07] and maps $W^r_d(C)^{\mathrm{an}}$ into $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ [Bak08], so the coincidence of dimensions suggests the possibility that $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ might be equal to the tropicalization of $W^r_d(C)$. Our main result confirms this possibility and proves [CDPR12, Conj. 1.5] over complete fields with infinite residue field.

Theorem 1.1. *Let K be a complete field with infinite residue field, and let C be a smooth projective curve of genus g over K. If C has totally split reduction and skeleton is isometric to Γ then every divisor class on Γ that is rational over the value group of K lifts to a divisor class of the same rank on C.*



FIGURE 1. The bridges of Γ have arbitrary non-negative lengths and the edges of the cycles have generic positive lengths. The precise genericity condition is given at the beginning of Section 2.

One interesting special case is when $\rho(g,r,d)$ is zero. In this case, the chain of loops Γ has exactly

$$\lambda(g,r,d) = g! \prod_{i=0}^{r} \frac{i!}{(g-d+r+i)!} \tag{2}$$

divisor classes of degree d and rank r, which is equal to the number of divisor classes of degree d and rank r on a general curve of genus g [GH80]. Theorem 1.1 then shows that the tropicalization map from $W^r_d(C)$ to $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ is bijective.

Remark 1.2. The special case of Theorem 1.1 where $r = 1$ and $\rho(g,r,d) = 0$ is due to Cools and Coppens, who gave a proof using the basepoint free pencil trick [CC14]. Kawaguchi and Yamaki recently proved analogous lifting theorems for special divisors on skeletons of totally degenerate hyperelliptic curves and non-hyperelliptic curves of genus 3. Their results include the special cases of Theorem 1.1 where g is at most 3 [KY13, KY14].

While Theorem 1.1 establishes Conjecture 1.5 from [CDPR12] for complete valued fields with infinite residue field, the conjecture can be false when the residue field is finite, as in the following example.

Example 1.3. Suppose Γ is a chain of $g \geq 2$ loops with generic integral edge lengths and no bridges, as in [CDPR12]. Let $K = \mathbb{F}_3((t))$ with the t-adic valuation. By deformation theory, there exists a smooth projective curve C over K with totally split reduction that has skeleton isometric to Γ [Bak08, Thm. B.2]. Let $[D]$ be the class of the vertex v_2 as in Figure 1, which is the unique effective divisor in its linear equivalence class. If $\mathfrak{C}$ denotes the regular semistable model of C, then a lift of $[D]$ to C would give rise to an effective divisor whose closure in $\mathfrak{C}$ intersects the component corresponding to v_2 with multiplicity 1, and hence the intersection would be a smooth point of this component defined over $\mathbb{F}_3$. However, this component is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_3}$ and v_2 has degree 4 in Γ, so all of its $\mathbb{F}_3$-rational points are nodes. We conclude that there is no such lift.

Theorem 1.1 and [CDPR12, Thm. 1.3] for the chain of loops are together somewhat analogous to the regeneration theorem of Eisenbud and Harris

[EH86, Thm. 3.4] for nodal curves of compact type. The regeneration theorem says that the space of limit linear series on a nodal curve of compact type has dimension everywhere locally at least the expected dimension, and a point where the local dimension is exactly the expected dimension is the limit of a linear series on the general fiber of a one-parameter smoothing. The following questions ask in what generality such analogues of the regeneration theorem should hold for arbitrary metric graphs.

Question 1.4. *If Γ' is a metric graph of genus g, is the dimension of $W^r_d(\Gamma')$ everywhere locally at least $\rho(g,r,d)$?*

Question 1.5. *Let C be a totally degenerate curve over an algebraically closed field K with skeleton Γ'. Suppose $W^r_d(\Gamma')$ has local dimension $\rho(g,r,d)$ at the class of a divisor D and that D is rational over the value group of K. Is there necessarily a divisor of degree d and rank r on C that tropicalizes to D?*

The answers to Questions 1.4 and Question 1.5 are affirmative for chains of loops with generic edge lengths, as shown in [CDPR12] and the present paper, respectively. Both questions are wide open for arbitrary graphs, and no counterexamples are known.

We now explain the general strategy used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Because of the totally split reduction and infinite residue field, the curve K has infinitely many K-points. We can therefore choose a base point on the curve so that each component of the Picard scheme of C is identified with the Jacobian. We then study the Brill-Noether loci as subschemes

$$W^r_d(C) \subset \mathrm{Jac}(C).$$

Since C is totally degenerate, the universal cover of $\mathrm{Jac}(C)^{\mathrm{an}}$ gives a uniformization

$$T^{\mathrm{an}} \to \mathrm{Jac}(C)^{\mathrm{an}},$$

by an algebraic torus T of dimension g. The tropicalization of this torus is the universal cover of the skeleton of $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$, which is canonically identified with the tropical Jacobian of Γ [BR13].

One of our key tools is Rabinoff's lifting theorem [Rab12], which we apply to the analytic preimages in T of algebraic subschemes of $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$. This lifting theorem says that isolated points in complete intersections of tropicalizations of analytic hypersurfaces lift to points in the analytic intersection with appropriate multiplicities. We apply it to translates of the preimage of the theta divisor $\Theta_\Gamma = W^0_{g-1}(\Gamma)$, as follows.

The Baker-Norine definition of rank from [BN07] implicitly expresses $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ as an intersection of translates of Θ_Γ. In Proposition 3.2, we reinterpret this construction scheme-theoretically and show that the local equations for the corresponding translates of Θ_C vanish on $W^r_d(C)$. When ρ is zero, we produce explicit translates of Θ_C whose tropicalizations intersect transversally with multiplicity 1 at a given point of $W^r_d(\Gamma)$. By Rabinoff's lifting

theorem, applied on the universal cover of $\mathrm{Jac}(C)^{\mathrm{an}}$, there is exactly one point in this complete intersection over the point of $W^r_d(\Gamma)$. The rest of this complete intersection is typically larger than $W^r_d(\Gamma)$, but the argument shows that the tropicalization map $W^r_d(C) \to W^r_d(\Gamma)$ is injective. Since the two sets have the same cardinality, we conclude that it is bijective.

When ρ is positive, we consider a point x in a dense subset of $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ and choose ρ additional translates of Θ_Γ that meet $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ transversally in the expected number of points. For each point in this intersection, we combine the ρ previously chosen translates of Θ_Γ with $g-\rho$ additional translates that contain $W^r_d(C)$, and then apply a similar lifting and counting argument to conclude that x is in the image of $W^r_d(C)^{\mathrm{an}}$. Since the tropicalization map $W^r_d(C)^{\mathrm{an}} \to W^r_d(\Gamma)$ is proper and its image contains a dense subset, we conclude that it is surjective. We then study the initial degenerations of $W^r_d(C)$, and show that these are rational over the residue field of K. Finally, since the residue field is infinite, we conclude that these initial degenerations have smooth rational points, which lift to points over K by Hensel's Lemma.

The main result in [JP14] shows that if the bridges in Γ have positive length, then C is Gieseker-Petri general, and hence $W^r_d(C)$ is smooth away from $W^{r+1}_d(C)$. Our argument also gives the weaker statement that $W^r_d(C)$ is generically smooth, but without the hypothesis that the graph Γ has bridges. More precisely, we have:

Proposition 1.6. *If C is a curve over a valued field K with skeleton isometric to Γ, then $W^r_d(C)$ is reduced. In particular, if $\rho(g,r,d)$ is zero then $W^r_d(C)$ is smooth.*

Remark 1.7. Our approach in Theorem 1.1 is inspired by the tropical scheme theory of Giansiracusa and Giansiracusa [GG13] and the tropical Hilbert-Chow morphism of Maclagan and Rincón [MR14]. Although the results of those papers are not used in the proofs, our main arguments relating various multiplicities to expressions of tropical Brill-Noether loci as intersections of translates of the tropical theta divisor grew out of a desire to understand $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ as a tropical scheme.

Remark 1.8. When finishing this paper, we learned of an independent proof of Theorem 1.1 by Amini and Baker. Their approach uses specialization through a point of $\mathcal{M}_g$ over a rank 2 valuation ring, in which the general fiber is smooth, the intermediate fiber is a chain of elliptic curves, and the special fiber is totally degenerate. They then proceed by connecting the tropical theory on the special fiber with the classical theory of limit linear series on the intermediate fiber. The methods are disjoint and complementary, and we expect that both approaches will be fruitful for future applications, perhaps in combination.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank O. Amini, M. Baker, N. Giansiracusa, and W. Gubler for helpful conversations. The second author

was supported in part by an AMS-Simons Travel Grant. The third author was supported in part by NSF DMS–1068689 and by NSF CAREER DMS–1149054.

2. Brill-Noether loci on the chain of loops

We begin this section by reviewing the classification of special divisors on the chain of loops with generic edge lengths Γ, following [CDPR12] to which we refer the reader for proofs and further details. As in that paper, we always assume that none of the ratios ℓ_i/m_i is equal to the ratio of two positive integers whose sum is at most $2g-2$. We then build up to Proposition 2.7, which describes the local structure of $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ near the class of a vertex avoiding divisor as a complete intersection of translates of the tropical theta divisor, and Proposition 2.8, which generalizes the counting formula (2) to the case where the dimension of $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ is positive.

2.1. **Classification of special divisors on Γ.** Recall that an effective divisor D on a metric graph Γ' is v-reduced, where v is a point in Γ', if the multiset of distances from v to points in D is lexicographically minimal among all effective divisors equivalent to D. The v_1-reduced divisors on Γ are classified as follows. For each i, let γ_i be the ith loop of Γ minus v_i, and let β_i be the half-open bridge $(w_i, v_{i+1}]$. Then Γ decomposes as a disjoint union

$$\Gamma = \{v_1\} \sqcup \gamma_1 \sqcup \beta_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \gamma_g,$$

as shown in Figure 2, and an effective divisor is v_1-reduced if and only if it contains at most one point on each of the punctured loops γ_i and no points on the bridges β_i.



Figure 2. A decomposition of Γ.

Since every effective divisor on Γ is equivalent to a unique v_1-reduced divisor, each effective divisor class is represented uniquely by a vector $(d_0, x_1, \ldots, x_g)$, where d_0 is the coefficient of v_1, and $x_i \in \mathbb{R}/(\ell_i + m_i)\mathbb{Z}$ is the distance from v_i to the chip on the ith punctured loop γ_i, measuring counterclockwise, if such a chip exists and x_i is set to 0 otherwise. An effective divisor together with a positive integer r also determines a lingering lattice path, which is a sequence $p_0, \ldots, p_g$ of points in $\mathbb{Z}^r$, as follows.

Definition 2.1. *Let D be the v_1-reduced divisor represented by the data $(d_0, x_1, \ldots, x_g)$. Then the associated lingering lattice path P in $\mathbb{Z}^r$ starts at $p_0 = (d_0, d_0 - 1, \ldots, d_0 - r + 1)$ with the ith step given by*

$$p_i - p_{i-1} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (-1, -1, \ldots, -1) & \text{if } x_i = 0 \\ e_j & \text{if } x_i = (p_{i-1}(j) + 1)m_i \bmod \ell_i + m_i \\ & \text{and both } p_{i-1} \text{ and } p_{i-1} + e_j \text{ are in } \mathcal{C} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right\}$$

where $e_0, \ldots e_{r-1}$ are the standard basis vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^r$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is the open Weyl chamber

$$\mathcal{C} = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^r \mid y_0 > y_1 > \cdots > y_{r-1} > 0\}.$$

Proposition 2.2. [CDPR12, Theorem 4.6] *A divisor D on Γ has rank at least r if and only if the associated lingering lattice path lies entirely in the open Weyl chamber $\mathcal{C}$.*

The steps where $p_i - p_{i-1} = 0$ are called *lingering steps*, and the number of lingering steps is at most the Brill-Noether number $\rho(g, r, d)$ from (1).

Our representation of divisors is compatible with the Abel-Jacobi map, as follows. We define an orientation on Γ by orienting each of the loops γ_i counter-clockwise, and define a basis of 1-forms on Γ by setting $\omega_i = d\gamma_i$. We then have the Abel-Jacobi map [MZ08, Sec. 6]:

$$AJ\colon \operatorname{Pic}_d(\Gamma) \to \operatorname{Jac}(\Gamma) = \prod_{i=1}^{g} \mathbb{R}/(m_i + \ell_i)\mathbb{Z},$$

given by

$$AJ\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_j\Big) := \sum_{i=1}^{g} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{v_1}^{p_j} \omega_i\Big) e_i,$$

where e_i denotes the ith standard basis vector in $\mathbb{R}^g$. Specifically, the Abel-Jacobi map sends the divisor corresponding to the data $(d_0, x_1, \ldots, x_g)$ to the point

$$\sum_{i=1}^{g} (n_i m_i + x_i) e_i \in \prod_{i=1}^{g} \mathbb{R}/(m_i + \ell_i)\mathbb{Z},$$

where $n_i = \#\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid i < j \leq g, x_j \neq 0\}$. Together with Proposition 2.2, this tells us that $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ is a union of translates of the images of the coordinate ρ-planes in $\mathbb{R}^g$, one for each lingering lattice path with ρ lingering steps. Given such a path, if the ith step is not lingering then the ith coordinate is fixed at $(p_{i-1}(j)+1+n_i)m_i$, while the remaining ρ coordinates corresponding to lingering steps are allowed to move freely. This is illustrated for $(g, r, d) = (3, 0, 2)$ in Figure 3.



FIGURE 3. The theta divisor in $\mathrm{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$, where Γ is the chain of 3 loops. This theta divisor is the set of all effective divisors classes of degree 2. Here $\mathrm{Pic}^2(\Gamma) \equiv (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^3$ is obtained by identifying opposite faces of the pictured cube.

2.2. **Vertex avoiding divisors.** The description of $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ above identifies this tropical Brill-Noether locus with a union of subtori of dimension ρ, corresponding to lingering lattice paths with ρ lingering steps. Our analysis of lifting divisor classes is simplest away from the pairwise intersections of these tori. The divisors in the complement of these intersections are called vertex avoiding, and have the following characterization.

Definition 2.3. *A divisor class $[D]$ on Γ of rank r is* vertex avoiding *if the following conditions hold:*

(1) *the associated lingering lattice path has exactly ρ lingering steps,*
(2) *$x_i \neq m_i \bmod \ell_i + m_i$ for any i, and*
(3) *$x_i \neq (p_{i-1}(j))m_i \bmod \ell_i + m_i$ for any i.*

The set of vertex avoiding divisor classes of degree d and rank r form a dense open subset of $W^r_d(\Gamma)$. In particular, if $\rho(g,r,d)$ is zero then every divisor class in $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ is vertex avoiding. Such divisors have the following nice property.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $[D]$ be a vertex avoiding divisor class of rank r on Γ. Then for each $0 \leq j \leq r$, there exists a unique divisor $D_j \sim D$ such that $D_j - jv_1 - (r-j)w_g$ is effective. Moreover,*

(1) *$D_j - jv_1 - (r-j)w_g$ has no points on any of the bridges β_i or vertices w_i.*
(2) *For $j < r$, D_j fails to have a point on γ_i if and only if the ith step of the associated lingering lattice path is in the direction e_j.*
(3) *D_r fails to have a point on γ_i if and only if the ith step of the associated lingering lattice path is in the direction $(-1,\ldots,-1)$.*

Proof. The argument is identical to the proof of [JP14, Prop. 6.2], which is the special case where $\rho(g,r,d)$ is zero. □

2.3. **The local structure of $W^r_d(\Gamma)$.** We now show that, in a neighborhood of any vertex avoiding divisor class, we can describe $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ as an intersection

of $g-\rho$ translates of the theta divisor $\Theta_\Gamma = W^0_{g-1}(\Gamma)$. More specifically, we construct $g-\rho$ divisors of the form $E_i - E'_i$, where E_i is effective of degree r and E'_i is effective of degree $g-d+r-1$, such that a divisor class $[D']$ near $[D]$ has rank r if and only if $[D' - (E_i - E'_i)]$ is effective for all i.

We first describe the open neighborhoods which we will use in our proof. For $i = 1, \ldots, g$, fix p_i in the interior of one of the two edges connecting v_i to w_i. Then, if ϵ is sufficiently small, the ϵ-neighborhood of p_i consists of an interval and we define

$$B(0,\epsilon) := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^g q_i - \sum_{i=1}^g p_i \in \mathrm{Jac}(\Gamma) \mid d(p_i, q_i) < \epsilon \right\}.$$

Proposition 2.5. *The map sending $(q_1, \ldots, q_g)$ to $\sum_{i=1}^g q_i - \sum_{i=1}^g p_i \in B(0,\epsilon)$ defines a homeomorphism between a product of open intervals and an open neighborhood of zero in* $\mathrm{Jac}(\Gamma)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that the Abel-Jacobi map sending the divisor $\sum_{i=1}^g q_i$ to its class is injective. To see this, suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^g q_i \sim \sum_{i=1}^g q'_i$. The divisor $\sum_{i=1}^g q_i$ is q'_i-reduced, and hence has positive q'_i-degree if and only if $q_i = q'_i$. It follows that $q_i = q'_i$ for all i. □

Definition 2.6. *For any divisor D of degree d on Γ, we define*

$$B(D,\epsilon) = D + B(0,\epsilon),$$

which is an open neighborhood of $D \in \mathrm{Pic}_d(\Gamma)$.

We now return to our description of the Brill-Noether locus.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $[D] \in W^r_d(\Gamma)$ be a vertex avoiding divisor class. Then there are effective divisors $E_1, \ldots, E_{g-\rho}$ of degree r and effective divisors $E'_1, \ldots, E'_{g-\rho}$ of degree $g-d+r-1$ such that for some $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$W^r_d(\Gamma) \cap B(D,\epsilon) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{g-\rho} [\Theta_\Gamma + E_i - E'_i] \cap B(D,\epsilon).$$

Proof. For $0 \le i \le r-1$, let $A_i \subset \{1, \ldots, g\}$ denote the set of steps of the associated lingering lattice path in the direction e_i, and let A_r be the set of steps in the direction $(-1, \ldots, -1)$. For $0 \le i \le r$ and $j \in A_i$, let $E_i = iv_1 + (r-i)w_g$ and $E'_{i,j} = \sum_{k \in A_i, k \neq j} p_k$. We show that

$$W^r_d(\Gamma) \cap B(D,\epsilon) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{r} \bigcap_{j \in A_i} [\Theta_\Gamma + E_i - E'_{i,j}] \cap B(D,\epsilon).$$

It follows from the definition of the rank of a divisor that the left-hand-side is contained in the right-hand side. Indeed, if some divisor D' has rank at least r, then for any i, $D' - E_i$ must be linearly equivalent to an effective divisor, and thus the same is true for $D' - E_i + E'_{i,j}$.

It remains to show that the right-hand side is contained in the left-hand side. Note that, by definition, any divisor class $[D'] \in B(D, \epsilon)$ is of the form

$$[D'] = [D - \sum_{k=1}^{g} p_k + \sum_{k=1}^{g} q_k]$$

for some q_k within ϵ of p_i. We then have

$$D' - E_i + E'_{i,j} \sim D_i - E_i + \sum_{k=1}^{g} q_k - \sum_{k \notin A_i} p_k - p_j.$$

We write $D'_{i,j}$ for the divisor on the right. By Proposition 2.4, we know that $D_i - E_i$ fails to have a point on γ_k if and only if $k \in A_i$. It follows that the restriction $D'_{i,j}|_{\gamma_k}$ has degree 1 if and only if $k \neq j$, and $D'_{i,j}|_{\gamma_j}$ has degree 0.

More precisely, for all $k \notin A_i$, $D'_{i,j}|_{\gamma_k}$ is equivalent to a point within ϵ of the point of D_i on γ_k. Similarly, for $k \in A_i$, $k \neq j$, $D'_{i,j}|_{\gamma_k} = q_k$. We therefore see that, for $k \neq j$ and ϵ sufficiently small, $D'_{i,j}|_{\gamma_k}$ is not equivalent to either of the vertices v_k or w_k. It follows that $[D'_{i,j}]$ is effective if and only if $D'_{i,j}|_{\gamma_j}$ is effective. We must therefore have $q_j = p_j$. By varying over all i and j, we see that $q_j = p_j$ for all non-lingering steps j, and therefore $D' \in W^r_d(\Gamma)$ by Proposition 2.2. □

2.4. **A counting formula when ρ is positive.** When $\rho(g, r, d) = 0$, the cardinality of $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ agrees with the cardinality of $W^r_d(C)$, and both are given by formula (2). We conclude this section with an analogous counting computation when $\rho(g, r, d)$ is positive.

If C is any algebraic curve of genus g such that $W^r_d(C)$ is ρ-dimensional, where $\rho = \rho(g, r, d)$, then by [ACGH85, Thm. V.1.3], the class of $W^r_d(C)$ is

$$w^r_d = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{r} \frac{i!}{(g-d+r+i)!} \right) \cdot \Theta^{g-\rho}.$$

It follows that, if $W^r_d(C)$ is generically reduced and ρ-dimensional, as is the case when C is general by [GH80], then its intersection with ρ general translates of Θ will consist of

$$w^r_d \cdot \Theta^\rho = g! \prod_{i=0}^{r} \frac{i!}{(g-d+r+i)!}$$

distinct points. We now prove the analogous counting formula for intersections of $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ with translates of the tropical theta divisor.

Proposition 2.8. *The intersection of $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ with ρ general translates of Θ_Γ consists of*

$$g! \prod_{i=0}^{r} \frac{i!}{(g-d+r+i)!}$$

distinct points. Moreover, if $[D] \in W^r_d(\Gamma)$ is vertex avoiding, then the ρ translates can be chosen to all contain $[D]$.

Proof. When $\rho = 0$, any lingering lattice path has no lingering steps, and the number of rank r lattice paths with precisely $(r+1)(s+1)$ steps and no lingering steps is

$$\Psi(r,s) := [(r+1)(s+1)]! \prod_{i=0}^{r} \frac{i!}{(s+1+i)!}.$$

We can use this to count the number of lingering lattice paths with precisely ρ lingering steps. To construct such a path, one can first choose the ρ lingering steps, and then choose a non-lingering lattice path on the remaining $g-\rho$ loops. It follows that the number of such paths is

$$\binom{g}{\rho} \Psi(r, g-d+r-1) = \binom{g}{\rho} (g-\rho)! \prod_{i=0}^{r} \frac{i!}{(g-d+r+i)!}.$$

As explained above, $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ is a union of precisely this number of translates of ρ-dimensional coordinate tori. Recall that the theta divisor Θ_Γ is a union of translates of the g coordinate $(g-1)$-dimensional tori. A given ρ-dimensional coordinate torus will therefore intersect ρ general translates of Θ_Γ in $\rho!$ points. It follows that the intersection of $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ with such translates is simply a union of

$$\binom{g}{\rho} \rho! \Psi(r, g-d+r-1) = g! \prod_{i=0}^{r} \frac{i!}{(g-d+r+i)!}$$

distinct points.

For the last statement in the theorem, fix a vertex avoiding divisor class $[D]$ in $W^r_d(\Gamma)$, and let $a_1, \ldots, a_\rho$ be the lingering steps of the lattice path associated to D. For each $1 \leq i \leq \rho$, let E_i be a sum of $g-1$ distinct points, one from each loop γ_j other than γ_{a_i}. The intersection $W^r_d(\Gamma) \cap [\Theta_\Gamma + (D - E_i)]$ contains $[D]$, and if the E_i are chosen sufficiently general then this intersection is transverse. □

3. Lifting divisors

In this section, we use our results on the structure of the tropical Brill-Noether loci to prove Theorem 1.1. We begin by proving that the tropical theta divisor is multiplicity-free.

3.1. **Tropical multiplicities on Brill-Noether loci.** Section 2.1 gives an explicit description of the Brill-Noether locus $W^0_d(\Gamma)$ with $r = 0$. Since the tropical Jacobian of Γ is canonically identified with the skeleton of $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$, and this identification is compatible with Abel-Jacobi maps [BR13], we see that $W^0_d(\Gamma)$ is the tropicalization of $W^0_d(C)$. We now compute the tropical multiplicities on the facets of the tropical theta divisor $\Theta_\Gamma = W^0_{g-1}(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Every facet of the tropical theta divisor Θ_Γ has multiplicity 1.*

Proof. As a consequence of Proposition 2.2, Θ_Γ consists of translates of the g coordinate codimension 1 tori in $\mathrm{Jac}(\Gamma)$, and each of these carries a positive integer multiplicity. By Proposition 2.8, the intersection of g general translates of Θ_Γ consists of precisely $g!$ distinct points, and each of these points is endowed with a tropical intersection multiplicity m equal to the product of the multiplicities of the facets. By Rabinoff's lifting theorem [Rab12], applied on the universal cover of $\mathrm{Jac}(\Gamma)$, $m \cdot g!$ is the number of intersection points, counted with multiplicity, in the intersection of g general translates of $\Theta_C \subset \mathrm{Jac}(C)$. Because the theta divisor provides a principal polarization of the Jacobian, the intersection number Θ^g is $g!$. It follows that m is 1, and hence every facet of Θ_Γ has multiplicity 1. □

3.2. **Local equations.** We begin by proving an analogue of the local equations from Proposition 2.7, but for algebraic curves. In particular, if D and E are effective divisors on an algebraic curve C then $r(D) - \deg(E) \leq r(D-E) \leq r(D)$, which gives a set-theoretic containment of the corresponding Brill-Noether loci. Our next result shows that this containment is in fact scheme-theoretic.

Proposition 3.2. *Let C be a curve. Fix integers d and r and an effective divisor E of degree e. Let $\varphi\colon \mathrm{Pic}_{d-e}(C) \to \mathrm{Pic}_d(C)$ be the isomorphism defined by sending $[D]$ to $[D+E]$. Then there is a chain of inclusion of subschemes*

$$W_d^{r+e}(C) \subseteq \varphi(W_{d-e}^r(C)) \subseteq W_d^r(C).$$

Proof. We use the following scheme-theoretic description of Brill-Noether loci, the details of which can be found in Chapter IV.3 of [ACGH85]. Choose an auxiliary effective divisor F of degree $m \geq 2g - d + e + 1$, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Poincaré line bundle on $\mathrm{Pic}_d(C) \times C$. We then have an exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \to \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}(F) \to \mathcal{L}(F)|_F \to 0.$$

If $\nu\colon \mathrm{Pic}_d(C) \times C \to \mathrm{Pic}_d(C)$ is the projection map, then $W_d^r(C)$ is the determinantal variety defined by the $(m+d-g+1-r) \times (m+d-g+1-r)$ minors of the map of vector bundles $\nu_*\mathcal{L}(F) \to \nu_*\mathcal{L}(F)|_F$.

Since φ is an isomorphism, and $(\varphi \times \mathrm{id})^*\mathcal{L}(-E)$ is a Poincaré line bundle on $\mathrm{Pic}_{d-e}(C) \times C$, the ideal of $\varphi(W_{d-e}^r(C))$ is defined by the $(m+d-e-g+1-r) \times (m+d-e-g+1-r)$ minors of $\nu_*\mathcal{L}(F-E) \to \nu_*\mathcal{L}(F-E)|_F$. Note that $\mathcal{L}(F-E)|_F = \mathcal{L}(F)|_F$ and also that there is an injection $\mathcal{L}(F-E) \to \mathcal{L}(F)$, which pushes forward to an injection. Putting these together, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \nu_*\mathcal{L}(F-E) \to \nu_*\mathcal{L}(F) \to \nu_*\mathcal{L}(F)|_F, \tag{3}$$

where $W_d^r(C)$ is defined by the minors of the last map, and $\varphi(W_{d-e}^r(C))$ is defined by the minors of the composition.

To finish the proof, we may work locally, so we replace (3) with an exact sequence of vector spaces:

$$0 \to U \to U \oplus V \to W.$$

Note that U has dimension $d+m-g-e+1$ and V has dimension e. Consider the matrix representing the map $U \oplus V \to W$. The ideal of its $(k+e)\times(k+e)$ minors is contained in the ideal of $k \times k$ minors of the map $U \to W$, which is contained in the ideal of $k \times k$ minors of the map $U \oplus V \to W$. Taking $k = m + d - g - e + 1 - r$, gives the desired inclusion of schemes. □

Corollary 3.3. *Fix a curve C and integers $d, r \geq 0$. Let E and E' be effective divisors of degree r and $g-1-d+r$, respectively. Let φ be the map from $\mathrm{Pic}_d(C)$ to $\mathrm{Pic}_{g-1}(C)$ taking $[D]$ to $[D-E+E']$. Then $\varphi(W^r_d(C)) \subseteq \Theta_C$.*

3.3. **Proof of main theorem.** We now prove Theorem 1.1, using the lifting theorem from [Rab12] for 0-dimensional complete intersections. When $\rho(g,r,d)$ is positive, we intersect $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ with $\rho(g,r,d)$ translates of the theta divisor and use the counting formula from Proposition 2.8.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first consider the case where $[D] \in W^r_d(\Gamma)$ is a vertex avoiding divisor class and K is algebraically closed. By Proposition 2.8, there exist divisors $E_1, \ldots, E_\rho$ of degree $d-g+1-r$ such that $[D]$ is contained in the intersection

$$X = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\rho} [\Theta_\Gamma + E_i] \cap W^r_d(\Gamma)$$

and

$$|X| = g! \prod_{i=0}^{r} \frac{i!}{(g-d+r+i)!}.$$

We let $\mathcal{E}_i$ be a divisor on C which tropicalizes to E_i. We define $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathrm{Pic}_d(C)$ to be the intersection

$$\mathcal{X} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\rho} [\Theta_C + \mathcal{E}_i] \cap W^r_d(C),$$

which is a finite scheme because $\mathrm{Trop}(\mathcal{X})$ is contained in X, which is finite.

Now let $[D']$ be one of the finitely many points in X, and we apply Proposition 2.7 to see that in a neighborhood of $[D']$, we can write $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ as an intersection

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{g-\rho} \Theta_\Gamma + [E'_i - E''_i],$$

where E'_i and E''_i are effective divisors of degree r and $d-g+1$ respectively. As before, we lift each of these to effective divisors $\mathcal{E}'_i$ and $\mathcal{E}''_i$ on C. By Corollary 3.3, $W^r_d(C)$ is contained in $\Theta_C + [\mathcal{E}'_i - \mathcal{E}''_i]$ for each i.

Near $[D']$, the hypersurfaces $\mathrm{Trop}(\Theta_C + [\mathcal{E}_i])$ and $\mathrm{Trop}(\Theta_C + [\mathcal{E}'_i - \mathcal{E}''_i])$ are translates of coordinate planes, all with multiplicity 1 by Lemma 3.1.

Therefore, it follows from [Rab12] that there is exactly one reduced point tropicalizing to $[D']$ in the intersection of

$$\Theta_C + [\mathcal{E}_1], \dots, \Theta_C + [\mathcal{E}_\rho], \text{ and } \Theta_C + [\mathcal{E}'_1 - \mathcal{E}''_1], \dots, \theta_C + [\mathcal{E}'_{g-\rho} - \mathcal{E}''_{g-\rho}].$$

While these hypersurfaces contain $\mathcal{X}$, it's not true that they form a complete set of defining equations, so this only shows that tropicalization is an injection from $\mathcal{X}$ to X. However, these two sets have the same cardinality, by Proposition 2.8 and [ACGH85], so we have a bijection. In particular, there exists a divisor class $[\mathcal{D}] \in W^r_d(C)$ such that $\mathrm{Trop}([\mathcal{D}]) = [D]$.

Since K is algebraically closed, the set of vertex avoiding divisors which are rational over the value group of K form a dense set of $W^r_d(\Gamma)$, and the lifting argument above shows that each of these is in $\mathrm{Trop}(W^r_d(C))$. Since $\mathrm{Trop}(W^r_d(C))$ is closed, it follows that $\mathrm{Trop}(W^r_d(C))$ is equal to $W^r_d(\Gamma)$. Therefore, even if we drop the assumption that $[D]$ is vertex-avoiding, the preimage of any point in $W^r_d(\Gamma)$ that is rational over the value group of K is a nonempty strictly K-analytic domain in $W^r_d(C)^{\mathrm{an}}$. Since K is algebraically closed, the K-points are dense in this domain.

We now additionally drop our assumption that K is algebraically closed. The argument above still shows that the preimage of $[D]$ is a nonempty strictly K-analytic domain $\mathcal{W}_{[D]}$ in $W^r_d(C)$, and it just remains to show that this domain contains a K-rational point. Since C has totally split reduction, the preimage of $[D]$ in $\mathrm{Pic}_d(C)^{\mathrm{an}}$ is an annulus, and is the generic fiber of a formal scheme whose special fiber is a torus $\mathbb{G}_m^g$ over the residue field. Let $\mathfrak{W}$ be the closure of $\mathcal{W}_{[D]}$ in this formal scheme, and let $\mathfrak{W}_0$ be the special fiber of $\mathfrak{W}$. By construction, $\mathfrak{W}_0$ is a subscheme of $\mathbb{G}_m^g$ over the residue field, and by [CLD12, Lem. 6.9.5], the tropicalization $\mathrm{Trop}(\mathfrak{W}_0)$ is the local cone of $\mathrm{Trop}(W^r_d(C)) = W^r_d(\Gamma)$ at $[D]$. The results of Section 2.1 show that this local cone is a union of ρ-dimensional coordinate linear subspaces, and the lifting argument above shows that each of these has multiplicity 1.

Choose one of these linear spaces and then the coordinates of the linear space define a map π from $\mathbb{G}_m^g$ to $\mathbb{G}_m^\rho$. Since the facets of $\mathrm{Trop}(\mathfrak{W}_0)$ have multiplicity 1, this map has tropical degree 1, and hence π is birational on one of the components of $\mathfrak{W}_0$. Since the residue field is infinite, the rational points in $\mathbb{G}_m^\rho$ are Zariski dense. In particular, there is a rational point in the dense open subset over which π is an isomorphism. Therefore, there is a smooth rational point in $\mathfrak{W}_0$, and this lifts to a K-point in $\mathfrak{W}$ by Hensel's Lemma. In particular, there is a K-rational point of $W^r_d(C)$ in the preimage of $[D]$, as required. □

Proof of Prop. 1.6. From the proof of Theorem 1.1, we know that the intersection of $W^r_d(C)$ with ρ translates of the theta divisor consists of distinct reduced points. Thus, $W^r_d(C)$ is smooth at those points and so it is generically reduced. However, $W^r_d(C)$ is a determinantal locus and hence Cohen-Macaulay, so it has no embedded points, and thus $W^r_d(C)$ is everywhere reduced. □

References

[ACGH85] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths, and J. Harris. *Geometry of algebraic curves. Vol. I*, volume 267 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.

[Bak08] M. Baker. Specialization of linear systems from curves to graphs. *Algebra Number Theory*, 2(6):613–653, 2008.

[BN07] M. Baker and S. Norine. Riemann-Roch and Abel-Jacobi theory on a finite graph. *Adv. Math.*, 215(2):766–788, 2007.

[BR13] M. Baker and J. Rabinoff. The skeleton of the Jacobian, the Jacobian of the skeleton, and lifting meromorphic functions from tropical to algebraic curves. To appear in Int. Math. Res. Not. arXiv:1308.3864, 2013.

[CC14] F. Cools and M. Coppens. Linear pencils on graphs and on real curves. *Geom. Dedicata*, 169(1):49–56, 2014.

[CDPR12] F. Cools, J. Draisma, S. Payne, and E. Robeva. A tropical proof of the Brill-Noether theorem. *Adv. Math.*, 230(2):759–776, 2012.

[CLD12] A. Chambert-Loir and A. Ducros. Formes différentielles réelles et courants sur les espaces de Berkovich. preprint arXiv:1204.6277, 2012.

[EH86] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris. Limit linear series: basic theory. *Invent. Math.*, 85(2):337–371, 1986.

[GG13] J. Giansiracusa and N. Giansiracusa. Equations of tropical varieties. preprint arXiv:1308.0042, 2013.

[GH80] P. Griffiths and J. Harris. On the variety of special linear systems on a general algebraic curve. *Duke Math. J.*, 47(1):233–272, 1980.

[Gub07] W. Gubler. Tropical varieties for non-Archimedean analytic spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 169(2):321–376, 2007.

[JP14] D. Jensen and S. Payne. Tropical multiplication maps and the Gieseker-Petri Theorem. preprint, arXiv:1401.2584, 2014.

[KY13] S. Kawaguchi and K. Yamaki. Rank of divisors on hyperelliptic curves and graphs under specialization. preprint arXiv:1304.6979, 2013.

[KY14] S. Kawaguchi and K. Yamaki. Algebraic rank on hyperelliptic graphs and graphs of genus 3. preprint arXiv:1401.3935, 2014.

[MR14] D. Maclagan and F. Rincón. Tropical schemes, tropical cycles, and valuated matroids. preprint arXiv:1401.4654, 2014.

[MZ08] G. Mikhalkin and I. Zharkov. Tropical curves, their Jacobians and theta functions. In *Curves and abelian varieties*, volume 465 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 203–230. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[Rab12] J. Rabinoff. Tropical analytic geometry, Newton polygons, and tropical intersections. *Adv. Math.*, 229(6):3192–3255, 2012.

Department of Mathematics, Yale University, PO Box 208283, New Haven, CT 06520

E-mail address: dustin.cartwright@yale.edu

E-mail address: david.jensen@yale.edu

E-mail address: sam.payne@yale.edu